

Panaji, 6th January, 2011 (Pausa 16, 1932)

SERIES II No. 41

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Note:- There are three Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 40 dated 30-12-2010 as follows:-

1. *Extraordinary dated 31-12-2010 from pages 989 to 990 regarding Order from Goa Legislature Secretariat.*
2. *Extraordinary (No. 2) dated 3-1-2011 from pages 991 to 994 regarding Notices in Form No. 2 and Order from Department of Elections (Goa State Election Commission).*
3. *Extraordinary (No. 3) dated 4-1-2011 from pages 995 to 996 regarding Notice of Election and Public Notice from Department of Panchayati Raj & Community Development (Directorate of Panchayats).*

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services

Directorate of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services

Order

No. 2-4-79-AH (Part)/2010-11/4377

In terms of the Articles 20 & 21 of the Articles of Association of Goa Meat Complex Ltd., and in supersession to all the previous orders issued in this regard in the past, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint the following Members on the Board of Directors of Goa Meat Complex Ltd. with immediate effect.

1. Hon'ble Minister of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services — Chairman.
2. Two Representatives of Government of India:

a) Mrs. Swarnamala Rawla, — Director.
Joint Secretary (P&F),
Ministry of Agriculture,
Dept. of Animal Husbandry,
Dairying & Fisheries,
Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi

b) Dr. H. R. Keshavamurthy, — Director.
Ministry of Agriculture,
Dept. of Animal Husbandry
Dairying & Fisheries,
Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi

3. Secretary, Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services — Director.

4. Director, Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services — Director.

5. Chairperson of Corporation of City of Panaji — Director.

6. Managing Director, Goa Meat Complex Ltd. — Director.

7. Shri Tulshidas N. Prabhu, — Director.
Gaonkarwada, Usgao, Ponda

8. Shri Vassu K. Naik, — Director.
Varcandem, Ponda

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Siddhivinayak S. Naik, Director (AH) & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 3rd January, 2011.



Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Education
(School Education)

Order

No. 1-(1)-5-2009/SE/02

Sanction of Government is hereby conveyed for re-employment of Shri B. H. Purohit, Headmaster,

Government High School, Valkini Sanguem-Goa under Directorate of Education for the period from 01-04-2011 to 30-04-2011 (one month) beyond the date of his superannuation.

Shri B. H. Purohit, Headmaster shall draw his emoluments as per Rules.

As per Rule 88 (1) of the School Education Rules, 1986, Shri B. H. Purohit is attaining the age of superannuation on or after the first day of November of the year i.e. on 01-11-2010.

The re-employment is subject to termination without assigning any reason at any time during the period of re-employment.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. *Celsa Pinto*, Ex officio Joint Secretary (School Education).

Panaji, 3rd January, 2011.

Order

No. 1(2)-22-2005/SE/04

Read: 1) Order No. 1(2)-22-2005/SE/1095 dated 14-12-2007.

2) Office Memorandum No. 13/4/74-PER dated 12-2-1999.

3) Order No. 1(2)-22-2005/SE/59 dated 11-03-2010.

Sanction of the Secretary (Education) is hereby conveyed for extension of the term of Shri D. R. Bhagat as Secretary, Goa Board of Secondary and Higher Secondary Education, Porvorim for further period of one year w.e.f. 14-12-2009 to 13-12-2010.

Dr. *Celsa Pinto*, Ex officio Joint Secretary (School Education).

Panaji, 4th January, 2011.

Order

No. 1(2)-22-2005/SE/03

Government is pleased to transfer the below mentioned Assistant Director/Secretary, Goa Board under the Directorate of Education and post them to the place shown against their names in Column No. 3 with immediate effect.

Sr. No.	Name of the Official/ /designation & place where presently working	Place of transfer
1	2	3
1.	Shri Bhagirath G. Shetye, Assistant Director of Education (Academic), Directorate of Education, Panaji	Secretary, Goa Board of Secondary & Higher Secondary Education, Alto, Betim-Goa.

1	2	3
2.	Shri Dilip R. Bhagat, Secretary, Goa Board of Secondary & Higher Secondary Education, Alto, Betim-Goa	Assistant Director of Education (Academic), Directorate of Education, Panaji.

The posting of Shri Bhagirath G. Shetye shall be on deputation initially for a period of one year and shall be governed by the standard terms of deputation as contained in the Office Memorandum No. 13/4/74-PER dated 12-2-1999 and as amended.

Shri D. R. Bhagat shall hold additional charge of the post of Assistant Director of Education (Adult Education) in addition to his own duties until further orders.

The above officials shall join their posting immediately on receipt of this order. The date of joining should be communicated to this office.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. *Celsa Pinto*, Ex officio Joint Secretary (School Education).

Panaji, 4th January, 2011.

-----◆-----
Directorate of Higher Education

Order

No. 22/2/96-Edn/27

Read: 1) Government Order No. 22/2/96-Edn/2749 dated 24-12-2009.

2) Government Order No. 22/2/96-Edn/3686 dated 22-12-2009.

Consequent upon expiry of the lien kept on the post of Lecturer in Commerce for the period of two years w.e.f. 05-01-2009 to 4-01-2011 as granted to Shri Nilesh Borde vide orders read as above, Government is pleased to accept the technical resignation tendered by him vide his letters dated 25-9-2010 and subsequent letter dated 22-10-2010 and he stands to be relieved of the post w.e.f. 5-01-2011 on account of his absorption as Assistant Professor in Department of Management Studies, in Goa University.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. K. Halarnkar, Under Secretary (Higher Education).

Panaji, 3rd January, 2011.

Directorate of Technical Education
Polytechnic Section

—
Order

No. 26/4/43/2010/DTE/16

Read: Memorandum No. 26/4/43/2010/DTE/4531
dated 06-11-2010.

On the recommendations of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/1/5/34(1)/10/207 dated 5th October, 2010, Government is pleased to appoint Kum. Resha Rohidas Govekar on temporary basis to the post of Lecturer in Computer Engineering (Group 'A' Gazetted) in the Government Polytechnic, Curchorem on an initial basic pay of Rs. 8,000/- and other allowances as admissible in the pay scale of Rs. 8,000-275-13,500 with effect from the date of joining the post as per the terms and conditions contained in the memorandum cited above.

Kum. Resha Rohidas Govekar will be on probation for a period of two years.

She should join duties within 30 days of the receipt of this order, failing which this order is liable to be cancelled without further notice.

She has been declared fit by Medical Board, Goa Medical College & Hospital Bambolim vide letter No. 4/106/84-H/GMC dated 15-12-2010. The appointment is further subject to verification of characters and antecedents.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vivek B. Kamat, Director of Technical Education
and ex officio Additional Secretary.

Porvorim, 3rd January, 2011.

Order

No. 17/3/42/2008/DTE/18

Ex-post facto approval of the Government is hereby conveyed to accept 'Technical Resignation' tendered by Shri Venancio C. Fernandes, from the post of Lecturer in Goa College of Architecture, Panaji, in which he has rendered regular service from 16-04-1987 to 30-01-1997, in order to join the post of H.O.D. (Architectural Assistantship) at Government Polytechnic, Panaji, where he has rendered regular service from 30-01-1997 till date.

This order is issued for the purpose of counting of his past service for pension and other retirement

benefits rendered from 16-04-1987 to 30-01-1997 as Lecturer in Goa College of Architecture, Panaji.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vivek B. Kamat, Director of Technical Education
and ex officio Additional Secretary.

Porvorim, 3rd January, 2011.



Department of Finance
Revenue and Control Division

—
Order

No. 3/1/2009-Fin(R&C)/Part

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 5 of the Goa Entertainment Tax Act, 1964 (Act 2 of 1964), the Government of Goa is pleased to exempt the Konkani film 'O Maria' produced by the M. B. Creations, Goa film producer Shri Rajendra Talak, wholly, from 19-12-2010 a period of 6 months from the date of first commercial exhibition of the regional film when screened in any of the theatres in the State of Goa.

This order shall come into force from the date of first commercial exhibition of the said regional film and shall remain in force for a period of six months upto such date.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Surendra F. Naik, Under Secretary Fin.(R&C).
Porvorim, 29th December, 2010.



Department of Labour

Inspectorate of Factories & Boilers, Institute of
Safety, Occupational Health and Environment

—
Order

No. 1/75/ADM-IFB/2010/2531

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/19(1)/03-09/Vol.I/192 dated 26-07-2010, Government is pleased to appoint Shri Anant Sakharam Pangam, as Inspector of Factories (Group "B" Gazetted) in the Inspectorate of Factories and Boilers, Altinho, Panaji, Goa on temporary basis with initial Pay Band of Rs. 12,540+G.P. Rs. 4,600/- in the PB—2 Rs. 9,300-34,800+G.P. Rs. 4,600/- from the date of joining the post:-

1. He shall be entitled to all other allowances as admissible under the rules.
2. The above candidate has been medically examined and found fit for Government Service.
3. The above candidate shall be on probation for a period of two years from the date of his appointment.
4. The appointment is made against the post revived vide Order No. 2/218/ADM-IFB/2009/2719 dated 08-10-2009.
5. The appointment of above candidate shall be subject to verification of character and antecedents.
6. The expenditure towards pay and allowances of above candidate shall be debited to the Budget Head "2230—Labour and Employment, 01—Labour, 102— Working Condition and Safety, 02—Strengthening of Factories and Boilers Inspectorate (Plan), 01—Salaries".

S. M. Paranjape, Chief Inspector of Factories & Boilers and ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 9th December, 2010.

Notification

No. 28/1/2010-LAB

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, at Panaji-Goa on 01-11-2010 in reference No. IT/134/99 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Smt. Upasana Majgaonkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 17th December, 2010.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-
-LABOUR COURT-I
AT PANAJI

(Before **B. P. Deshpande**, Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/134/99

Workmen rep by
The General Secretary,
All Goa General Employees Union,
Mukund Building, 2nd Floor,
P. O. Box No. 90,
Vasco-da-Gama-Goa. ... Workmen/Party I
V/s

The Proprietor
M/s. Kamaca Plastics,
Bethora, Industrial Estate,
Ponda-Goa. ... Employer/Party II

Party I/Workmen—None present at the time of Award.

Party II/Employer is represented by Adv. G. B. Kamat.

AWARD

(Passed on this 1st day of November, 2010)

In exercise of the powers conferred under clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, the Government of Goa referred the present dispute vide No. IRM/CON/((76)/98/5588 dated 12-11-1999 for adjudication of this Tribunal and the schedule reads as under:

- "(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Kamaca Plastics, Bethora Industrial Estate, Ponda, Goa, in terminating the services of the following Workmen with effect from 9-9-1998, is legal and justified?

Sr. No.	Name of the Workmen	Designation
1.	Shri Ramdas Shet	Operator
2.	Shri Nadukar Gaonkar	Operator
3.	Shri Pandurang Naik	Operator
4.	Shri Gokuldas Gaonkar	Operator
5.	Shri Seby Dias	Helper
6.	Shri Chandrakant Gaonkar	Helper
7.	Shri Dhaku Gaude	Helper
8.	Shri Shyam Naik	Helper
9.	Shri Ashok Naik	Helper
10.	Shri Mangaldas Gaonkar	Helper
11.	Shri Digambar Prabhu	Helper
12.	Shri Ramesh Phadte	Helper
13.	Shri Diago Gomes	Helper
14.	Shri Eknath Jalmi	Helper
15.	Shri Suresh Gaude	Helper
16.	Shri Pradeep Mulgaonkar	Fitter
17.	Shri Ashok Gaonkar	Fitter
18.	Shri Maruti Kalkundrikar	Turner
19.	Shri Keshav Gadhav	Turner
20.	Shri Suresh Gaude	Helper.

- (2) If not, to what relief the above Workmen are entitled?"

2. Upon receipt of the said order it was registered as IT/134/99 and notices were issued to both parties. The Party I/Workmen filed statement of claim vide Exb. 5 and thereafter Party II/Employer filed his written statement at Exb. 6. The rejoinder is filed by the Workmen vide Exb. 7.

3. In nut shell is the case of the Workmen that they were employed with Party II and are the

members of the Union. The Party II is owned by one Shri Vaman Vishnu Kelekar and his family. The said family also owned another unit by name Blow-Can Industries which is also situated at Bethora Industrial Estate and two other estates at Corlim Industrial Estate, one establishment at Madkai Industrial Estate, one at Khanapur (Belgaum) and another at Ramnagar (Belgaum) all engaged in the manufacture of plastic items of different types.

4. The Workmen joined the Union on or about 28-11-1997 and submitted charter of demands on or before 2-3-1998. The employer refused to negotiate and illegally locked out the establishment from 7-9-98. All the machineries have been shifted to Corlim and other places. The workers were not offered any compensation or wages nor paid with the bonus for the previous financial year of 1997-98. No notice of retrenchment lay off, lock out or closure was issued by the employer thus the Workmen claimed that the action of the employer should be considered as illegal and all the Workmen should be reinstated with continuity in service and full back wages.

5. The written statement filed at Exb. 6 by the employer show that M/s. Kamaca Plastics was a proprietary concern of Shri Vaman Kelekar and he was carrying out the said business till the closure of it. The said business was carried out at shed No. D-3/11 at Bethora Industrial Estate by employing 16 Workmen. Somewhere in the year 1996 there was oral settlement between the Workmen and the Employer whereby it was agreed that each Workman will get increase of Rs. 700/- per month in his wages w.e.f. 1-4-97 and the settlement was effective for period of 4 years. Somewhere on 29-11-1997 the Union informed the employer about joining of the Workmen as members and they served the charter of demands proposing the enhancement of wages @ 300% and the said term was too much excessive and therefore opposed by the employer. The Union instigated the Workmen who started indulging in going slow, indiscipline, disorderly behaviour. The Workmen also started damaging the sophisticated machinery, planting sharp iron nails outside the factory premises, in order to prevent removal of finished goods. By these activities there was considerable fall in the production and as such the number of work orders were breached resulting in substantial loss. Due to such case it was not conducive for the working of the establishment in peaceful, normal and profitable manner and therefore the employer was

constrained to close his establishment from 8-9-98 and services of all Workmen were terminated w.e.f. 10-9-98 vide order dated 9-9-98. All the Workmen were requested to collect their final settlement dues on 13-9-98 from the office of the establishment.

6. By way of rejoinder the Workmen claimed that the establishment is in operation on some other place and the closure is illegal as claimed by the employer. They denied allegations of going slow and indiscipline at the work place and claimed that the employer adopted unfair labour practice.

7. Considering rival contentions, issues were framed vide Exb. 8 by my learned predecessor which reads as under together with my findings against it.

- | | |
|--|---------------|
| 1. Whether the Party I proves that the Party II terminated the services of the Workmen with effect from 10-9-98 which is illegal and unjustified? | Negative. |
| 2. Whether the Party II proves that the services of the workmen were terminated with effect from 10-9-98 on account of the closure of the establishment with effect from 8-9-98? | Affirmative. |
| 3. Whether the Party I/Workmen are entitled to any relief? | Negative. |
| 4. What Award? | As per order. |

8. Shri Anand Betkikar stepped into the witness box for the Workmen and examined one Gokuldas Gaonkar. Thereafter, the proprietor of Party II filed his affidavit vide Exb. 12. Subsequently, it was informed that the said proprietor Shri Vaman expired. Thereafter, his son by name Sohan filed his affidavit at Exb. 20 and produced documents such as notice of closure and order of termination of the Workmen. Record show that no one appeared on behalf of Party I after the death of the proprietor and the witness examined by Party II is not even cross examined. Subsequently, notices were issued to Party I but no one appeared and thereafter written submissions were filed on behalf of Party II at Exb. 26. Since no one appeared on behalf of the workmen, though they were duly served by notices issued on 2nd September, 2010, matter was fixed for Award. I have perused the entire record as well as written submissions filed by Party II and on that basis I propose to discuss the issues as under.

FINDINGS

9. *Issue Nos. 1, 2 and 3:* The above issues are taken for joint discussion since they are interconnected and also to avoid repetition of facts.

10. The main contention on behalf of Workmen is that after they joined the Union and served charter of demands on the employer, he illegally locked the factory from 7-9-98 and shifted the entire machinery to other establishments. It is also their contention that their workers were neither offered nor paid wages for the month of August, 1998, bonus for the previous year 1997-98 and other legal dues. The witness examined by Party I has deposed about the case put forth by the Workmen and claimed that the said factory belongs to Kelekar family and the said family is having their establishment at different places carrying similar production. However except oral statements, no document is placed on record to show that the establishment is owned by the family specifically when the written statement filed on record by the employer show that it was a proprietary concern of Shri Waman Kelekar. Therefore, such aspect that the establishment belongs to the family of Kelekar cannot be accepted for any specific evidence produced on record. Secondly this witness has disclosed that the employer terminated the services of 20 Workmen whose names are mentioned in the reference and illegally locked out its factory from 7-9-98. He claimed that Party II removed some machinery from the premises and took it towards Mollem side. These aspects were informed in writing to Deputy Labour Commissioner, Panaji and such letters are produced on record. He claimed that neither notice pay nor retrenchment compensation was paid to the workmen. Wages for the month of August, 1998 were not offered, the bonus was also not paid. The Deputy Labour Commissioner called Party II for conciliation proceedings but he failed to attend and therefore the failure report was submitted. During the cross examination it was put to him that the Workmen indulged in unfair labour practice at the instigation of the Union and adopted go slow method for pressurizing the management to accept the unrealistic demand. It was also put to him that in view of such tactics the employer suffered loss and therefore he was compelled to close the factory on 8-9-98. However, it was put to him that the employer terminated the services of the Workmen from 10-9-98 by issuing letters of termination dated 9-9-98 giving the reason of closure of the factory. Further, it was put to him that in the said letter workers were asked to collect their final settlement dues from the office

on 13-9-98. He denied all these suggestions and claimed that the employer adopted unfair labour practices.

11. The second witness by name Gokuldas deposed that he was working as operator with Party II since 1991 and he alongwith their workers joined the Union somewhere in November, 1997. The Union submitted the charter of demand to Party II vide the letter dated 2-3-98. He then claimed that he worked with Party II till 6-9-98 and attended the duty for the first shift on 7-9-98 but found the factory locked. He then claimed that the Party II did not pay wages for the month of August, 1998 and the wages for six days of September, 1998. Similarly, bonus for the period 1997-98 was not paid. He further claimed that Party II failed to pay retrenchment compensation, gratuity, notice pay and leave wages when their services were terminated. This witness in the examination in chief admitted that before joining the present Union there was no Union of the workers but they entered into oral agreement with Party II wherein he agreed for revision of wages, paying incentives of the workers who were regular in attendance etc. He then admitted that Party II closed its factory at Bethora Industrial Estate and removed all the machinery. During cross examination this witness admitted that after entering into oral agreement with Party II, their Union submitted another charter of demand asking for raise in wages. He denied the suggestion that since the employer did not agree to settle such demand of the Union the workers resorted to go slow tactics and also damaged machinery. However, he admitted that as on today the factory of Party II is closed and the same is closed from 7-9-98. He denied the suggestion that Party II had sent a letter asking them to collect their dues. He further denied that they went to the office of Party II but the Workmen refused to accept the amount offered to them and told the employer that other legal dues should be paid to them.

12. During the present proceedings employer filed his affidavit but he could not step into the witness box and it was reported that the employer expired on 17-4-07. Copy of Death Certificate is also produced on record. The application at Exb. 17 was filed for bringing legal heirs of Shri Waman Kelekar and the same was allowed since no objection was given. Thereafter one of the legal heir of deceased employer namely Sohan alias Laxman Kelekar stepped into the witness box and filed his affidavit at Exb. 20 wherein he deposed

that his father was sole proprietor of M/s. Kamaca Plastics and his father expired on 17-4-07. He then deposed that somewhere in November, 1996 his late father entered into mutual and oral settlement with the Workmen effective from 1-4-97 by which each Workmen, was given increase of Rs. 700/- per month as wages and the settlement was for a period of 4 years ending on 31-3-01. However, somewhere in November, 1997, Workmen joined Union and thereafter vide letter dated 2-3-98 the charter of demand for revision of wages and other incentives was served. Since the effect of oral settlement was not over and the monetary burden was much beyond the capacity of the employer the said charter of demand was opposed. Thereafter, workers started delaying the process by adopting go slow method and thus the production was affected which resulted in cancelling of work orders and subsequently loss to the employer. There was no other alternative but to close the establishment and therefore the notice was issued to all Workmen including 26 covered under the present reference dated 8-9-98, that their services stands terminated with effect from 10-9-98. In addition to this individual termination letters were issued under certificate of posting and by such letters Workmen were requested to collect their dues from office on 13-9-98. The establishment was permanently closed from 8-9-98. Therefore, he claimed that the Workmen are not entitled for any relief of reinstatement and back wages as claimed in the present reference. Through this witness the notice of closure dated 9-9-98 is produced at Exb. 22 which show that the employer has taken the decisions to close the establishment due to unavoidable circumstances beyond his control and therefore services of the Workmen stands terminated from 10-9-98. Similarly he has produced the order of termination issued to each Workmen vide Exb. 23 colly and forwarded under certificate of posting wherein it was disclosed that the Workmen is advised to collect his final settlement dues from the establishment office on 13-9-98. There is absolutely no cross examination of this witness on behalf of Party I even though opportunities were given. The contention of the witness i.e. son of the deceased employer has gone unchallenged together with documentary evidence produced by him on record.

13. On thing is required to be considered in the present matter is the aspect of previous oral settlement between the Workmen and the employer, though denied initially and specifically by the witness No. 1 but admitted by the

Workmen examined witness No. 2. It is clear that there was earlier settlement which was extensive and binding on the parties and this aspect is further clear from the reply of the employer dated 13-3-98 produced at Exb. W-5. The Union though denied about such settlement, record show that there was such settlement and the same was not terminated by the Workmen as it was extended or agreed before them joining the Union. Apart from this, the contention of the employer that the Workmen adopted go slow tactics and therefore production suffered which ultimately resulted in closing the unit has not been rebutted at all.

14. In the case of *Anchor Industries Employees Union v/s Anchor Industries and 33 others reported in Bom I. R. 56* it has been observed that once the Tribunal finds that an employer has closed its factory as a matter of fact it is not concerned to go into the question as to the motive which guided him and to go to conclusion that because of the previous history of the dispute between the employer and the employee, closure was not justified such closure cannot give rise to Industrial Dispute.

15. In the case of *Lal Bhavta Hotel Aur Bakery Mazdoor Union v/s Ritz Pvt Ltd., 2007 Vol, ALL M.R. 74*. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court has considered the earlier decision of the constitutional bench in the case of *M/s. Hathising Manufacturing Co. Ltd., v/s Union of India AIR 1960 Supreme Court 923* wherein the distinction between Section 25F on one hand and Section 25FFF on the other hand was considered and was held that by Section 25F there is prohibition against retrenchment until the conditions prescribed by that section are fulfilled. By 25 Section FFF(1), termination of employment on closure of the undertaking without payment of compensation and without either serving notice or paying wages in lieu of notice, is not prohibited. Payment of compensation and payment of wages for the period of notice are not therefore conditions precedent to closure. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court, on the basis of above discussion of the constitutional bench is accepted and observed in para 9 as under.

"Consequently having regard to the settled position in law laid down by the Constitution Bench and followed thereafter, the requirement of paying compensation and of issuing a notice or wages in lieu thereof under sub-section (1) of Section 25FFF as if the Workman had been retrenched cannot be placed on the same footing as the require-

ment under Section 25F. In the present case the letter of termination intimated to the Workman that the compensation together with one month's salary in lieu of notice had been kept ready together with other legal dues. The witness who deposed on behalf of the management stated during the course of his deposition that he legal dues were collected by the Workman subsequently. In these circumstances, it cannot be said that there was any breach of the requirements of Section 25FFA and Section 25FFF of the Industrial Disputes, 1947."

16. The position emerging from above decisions clearly show that the establishment of Party II was closed by issuing notices and the letters were forwarded to the Workmen regarding termination of their services with clear understanding that they should collect their legal dues from the office on 13-9-98. The provisions of Chapter V-B of the Industrial Dispute Act is not applicable since the establishment of Party II was a small unit having in all 20 workers only. Thus, this Tribunal cannot go into the correctness or otherwise of the closure but only it has to find out that such closure is genuine and effective. This fact is admitted by witness No. 2 of Party I during cross examination. The said factory is closed from 8-9-98 and since then there is no activity in the said premises. The employer gave notice and offered legal dues which Workmen refused to accept. When the closure of the establishment is accepted and found as genuine, the Workmen are not entitled for any reinstatement and back wages. At the most the workers were entitled to receive their legal dues on the basis of termination due to closure which was offered to them but they refused to accept. In the result it cannot be said that the termination is illegal and unjustified. On the other hand it is to be considered that the termination was on account of closure of the establishment and hence I answer the issue No. 1 as not proved. Issue No. 2 as proved and issue No. 3 as not proved.

17. With the above discussion, it is clear that the claim raised by the Workmen is not at all justified and therefore I answer the same as under.

ORDER

The action of the management of M/s. Kamaca Plastics, Bethora Industrial Estate, Ponda, Goa, in terminating the services of the above Workmen with effect from 9-9-1998, is legal and justified.

No order as to costs. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(B. P. Deshpande),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-
cum-Labour Court-I

Department of Law and Judiciary

Law (Establishment) Division

Notifications by the High Court of Judicature Appellate Side, Bombay

No. A.1201/G/2010

Upon revoking the suspension of Mrs. Anuja Prabhudessai, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, Panaji, the Hon'ble the High Court is pleased to repost her as Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, Panaji w.e.f. 26th December, 2010.

High Court, Bombay. *M. N. Gilani*
Registrar General.
Dated 21st December, 2010.

No. A.1201/G/2010

I

The Hon'ble the Chief Justice is pleased to repatriate Shri B. P. Deshpande, Presiding Officer, Industrial-cum-Labour Court-I, Panaji and on repatriation to post him as District Judge-1 and Additional Sessions Judge, Panaji vice Shri P. V. Sawaikar.

II

Sr. No.	Name and Present Posting	New Posting
1.	Shri P. V. Sawaikar, District Judge-1 & Additional Sessions Judge, Panaji	District Judge-2 & Additional Sessions Judge, Panaji.
2.	Shri Agha Irshad, District Judge-2 & Assistant Sessions Judge, Panaji	District Judge-3 & Assistant Sessions Judge, Panaji.

High Court, Bombay. *M. N. Gilani*
Registrar General.
Dated 21st December, 2010.

District & Sessions Court, North Goa

No. DSC/HOL/121/2010/11410

**List of Holidays and Vacations for the year
2011 approved by the Hon'ble High Court of
Bombay for the District & Subordinate Courts
in the North Goa District**

Sr. No.	Holidays	Date	Days of the week
1	2	3	4
1.	New Year Day	01-01-2011	Saturday
2.	Republic Day	26-01-2011	Wednesday
3.	(*) Id-e-Milad	16-02-2011	Wednesday
4.	Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Jayanti	19-02-2011	Saturday
5.	Mahashivratri	02-03-2011	Wednesday
6.	Gudi Padwa	04-04-2011	Monday
7.	Ram Navami	12-04-2011	Tuesday
8.	Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Jayanti	14-04-2011	Thursday
9.	Maundy Thursday	21-04-2011	Thursday
10.	Good Friday	22-04-2011	Friday
11.	Independence Day	15-08-2011	Monday
12.	Gopal Kala	22-08-2011	Monday
13.	(*) Ramzan-Id/ /Id-UI-Fitr	30-08-2011	Tuesday
14.	Hartalika Pujan	31-08-2011	Wednesday
15.	Ganesh Chaturthi (First Day)	01-09-2011	Thursday
16.	Ganesh Chaturthi (Second Day)	02-09-2011	Friday
17.	Dassehra	06-10-2011	Thursday
18.	Diwali (additional day for Diwali)	25-10-2011	Tuesday
19.	Diwali	26-10-2011	Wednesday
20.	Govardhan Puja	27-10-2011	Thursday
21.	Bhau Bij	28-10-2011	Friday
22.	(*) Bakari-Id (Id-UI-Zuha)	07-11-2011	Monday
23.	Feast of St. Francis Xavier	03-12-2011	Saturday
24.	(*) Moharrum	07-12-2011	Wednesday
25.	Goa Liberator Day	19-12-2011	Monday

**No Days have been proposed as Holidays on
Account of**

1.	May Day	01-05-2011	Sunday
2.	Mahatma Gandhi Jayanti	02-10-2011	Sunday
3.	Christmas Day	25-12-2011	Sunday

Summer Vacation

From: 09-05-2011 to 05-06-2011
(Both days inclusive).

Winter Vacation

From: 26-12-2011 to 31-12-2011
(Both days inclusive).

Note:

- (*) The holidays at Sr. Nos. 3, 13, 22 and 24 will be availed on the days the Government of Goa declares public holidays.
- Please note that the Hon'ble High Court has clarified that these notified holidays and vacations are likely to be modified in near future as per the recommendations of Shetty Commission and directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Writ No. 1022/89 (All India Judges' Association Vs. Union of India and others).

Nutan D. Sardessai, Principal District & Sessions Judge, North Goa, Panaji.

Panaji, 27th December, 2010.

◆◆◆

Department of Mines

Directorate of Mines & Geology

—
Order

No. 01-106/08-MINES/3045

The tenure of the Monitoring Committee constituted by the Government vide Order No. 01/106/08-Mines/2287 dated 29-09-2008 stands further extended upto 31-03-2011.

This issues with the approval of the Government.

S. Kumaraswamy, Secretary (Mines).

Panaji, 24th December, 2010.

◆◆◆

Department of Personnel

—
Order

No. 6/4/91-PER(Part II)

Read: (1) Order No. 6/11/2009-PER dated 05-05-2010.

(2) Order No. 6/4/91-PER(Part II) (a) dated 20-09-2010.

The transfer and posting of Shri Venancio Furtado, Managing Director, Kadamba Transport Corporation Ltd., as General Manager, Entertainment Society of Goa, made vide Order dated 20-09-2010 read in preamble (2) shall stand cancelled.

Consequently, Shri Furtado shall continue to hold charge of the post of General Manager, Entertainment Society of Goa, in addition to his own duties, until further orders, as ordered vide Order read in preamble (1).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 20th December, 2010.

Order

No. 7/2/99-PER (P. F.)

On placement of his service at the disposal of Government of Goa by the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi, vide Order No. 14020/6/2009-UTS. I dated 25-08-2009 the Governor of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri B. Vijayan, IAS (AGMUT: 1982) as Principal Secretary to the Government of Goa, with immediate effect on joining to this administration on 16th November, 2010.

Shri B. Vijayan, IAS (AGMUT:1982) shall look after the work of Revenue Department as per the allocation of work made vide Notification No. 7/6/99-PER (PF.) dated 29-11-2010.

The Government is further pleased to condone the delay of one day taken by Shri B. Vijayan, IAS (AGMUT: 1982) for joining this administration as he had to attend Compulsory Pre-departure Briefing Session on 15th November, 2010 at New Delhi and to treat the period of one day as awaiting posting.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 29th December, 2010.

Order

No. 13/22/2006-PER(A)

Read: Government Order No. 13/22/2006-PER(A) dated 03-09-2010.

Governor of Goa is pleased to grant further extension in service to Shri Nirmal Braganza, Chief Electrical Engineer, for a further period of one year with effect from 01-01-2011 upto 31-12-2011 or till the post is filled on regular basis, whichever is earlier, pending clearance from Goa Public Service Commission for extension of his ad hoc appointment.

The extension is subject to termination without assigning any reasons at any time during the period of extension.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yetindra Maralkar, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 31st December, 2010.

Department of Public Health

Order

No. 38/47/2010-I/PHD

Government is pleased to recognize B.L.K. Memorial Hospital, New Delhi for the purpose of mediclaim under Goa Mediclaim Scheme and for medical reimbursement of Government Employees, Freedom Fighters, MLAs etc., under the Central Services (Medical Attendance) Rules, 1944 for the below mentioned procedures, on the CGHS Mumbai Rate 2006 rate list:-

1. Cardiology
2. Cardio Thoracic and Vascular Surgery
3. Surgery
4. Nephrology
5. Neonatology
6. Paediatrics
7. Paediatric Surgery
8. Nuclear Medicine
9. Bone Marrow Transplant treatment.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardessai, Joint Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 31st December, 2010.

Department of Public Works
Office of the Principal Chief Engineer

—
Order

No. 34/3/2010/PCE-PWD-ADM(II)/255

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee as conveyed by the Goa Public Service Commission vide its letter No. COM/II/11/36(1)/06/270 dated 19-11-2010, Government is pleased to promote the following Executive Engineers/Surveyor of Works (Civil) to the post of Superintending Engineer/ /Superintending Surveyor of Works/Superintending Engineer (Monitoring & Eva.) (Civil) Group 'A', Gazette in the pay band of Rs. 15,600-39,100+ G. P. 7,600/- on regular basis in Public Works Department, with immediate effect.

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer
1.	Shri Arvind A. Patil
2.	Shri Savio De Sa
3.	Shri K. V. Sreekrishnan

The Officers at Sr. Nos. 1 & 2 above shall continue to hold the post of Superintending Engineer, Circle Office VI(PHE), PWD. Altinho, Panaji and Senior Technical Examiner in the Directorate of Vigilance, Altinho, Panaji respectively, in their present place of postings, until further orders. However, the Officer at Sr. 1 shall draw his pay and allowances against the vacant post of Superintending Engineer, Circle Office II, PWD, Altinho, Panaji.

The Officer at Sr. No. 3 above is posted as Superintending Engineer in Monitoring & Evaluation, PWD, Altinho, Panaji. He shall continue to hold the additional charge of the post of Executive Engineer (Legal), PWD, Altinho, Panaji, until further orders.

They shall be on probation for a period of one year from the date they assume the charge of the post of Superintending Engineer as per Recruitment Rules.

They shall exercise option for pay fixation within a period of one month from the date of issue of this order in terms of F.R. 22(I) (a) (1).

Consequently, Shri Uttam P. Parsekar, Superintending Engineer, Circle Office IX (NH), Altinho, Panaji stands relieved of the additional charge of the post of Superintending Engineer, Mon. & Eva., PWD.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. J. S. Rego, Principal Chief Engineer, PWD & ex officio Addl. Secretary.

Panaji, 31st December, 2010.

—◆◆◆—
Department of Revenue

—
Notification

No. 23/25/2010-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. L. A. proposal of const. of road from Parvem to Dessaiwada in a length of 900 mts. in V. P. Agonda in Canacona Constituency.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette

and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector & S.D.O., Canacona, Canacona-Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa.
2. The Dy. Collector & S.D.O., Canacona, Canacona-Goa.
3. The Executive Engineer, W.D.-XXV (Roads), PWD, Fatorda, Margao-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector & S.D.O., Canacona, Canacona-Goa, for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Canacona

Village: Agonda

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
126/5	1. Smt. Maria Manuela E Palma Gomes E Souza. 2. Arnaldo Dawid Vaz E Souza. 3. Lucas Envagelista Borges. 4. Kamlakar B. Fall Dessai. 5. Agnelo Bonnie Coutinho. 6. Rosy Fernandes. 7. Rajesh Balu Dhuri. 8. Sukanti Balu Dhuri. 9. Mary Margaret Monteiro E Coutinho. 10. Lifton Coutinho. 11. Albertinha Aguiar. 12. Claudina Coutinho.	1600

1	2	3
---	---	---

Other Rights:

- A. House owned by:
Datta Narayan Dhuri.
- B. House owned by:
Ganesh Narayan Dhuri.
- C&D. House & cowshed owned by:
Sarasvati Raghu Dhuri
- E. House owned by:
Devaki Nihikaro Dhuri.
- F. House owned by:
Suryaji Magtalo Dhuri.
- G. 1) Khushali Kekro Pagi.
2) Ramchandra Kekro Pagi.
3) Kust Kekro Pagi.
4) Mahadeo Kekro Pagi.
- H. House owned by:
Subhadra Soiru Pagi.
House owned by:
1) Mhadhuni Gopal Pagi.
2) Shankar Magtalo Pagi.
- J. House owned by:
Raghoba Magtalo Pagi.
- K. House owned by:
Mukund Magtalo Pagi.
- L. Rice mill owned by:
Mhablu Ramu Pagi.
- M. Cowshed owned by:
Lemdo Magtalo Pagi.
- N. House owned by:
Taramati Babuso Pagi.
- O. House owned by:
Mariano Coutinho.
- P. House owned by:
Occupant.
- Q. Hut & R: House owned by:
Lourento Coutinho.
- S. W. C. owned by:
Lourento Coutinho.
- T. Bhati owned by:
Lourento Coutinho.
- U. Cowshed owned by:
Lourento Coutinho.
- V. Housed owned by:
1) Floriano Fernandes.
2) Francisco Xavier Fernandes.
3) Joaquim Anton Fernandes.
- W. Bhati owned by:
Floriano Fernandes.
- X. Hut owned by:
Florian Fernandes.

1	2	3	1	2	3
Y.	Hut owned by: Francisco Xavier Fernandes.		V-1:	House owned by: Occupant.	
Z.	Housed owned by: Vitorino Fernandes.		W-1:	House owned by: Lourence Borges.	
A-1:	Hut owned by: Vitorino Fernandes.		X-1:	House owned by: Joao Josa Fernandes.	
B-1:	House owned by: Babu Sadolshenkar.		Y-1:	House owned by: Mukund Magtalo Pagi.	
C-1:	House owned by: Francisco Fernandes.		126/5-A	1) Honesto Vitorino Fernandes.	180
D-1:	Chapel of Our Lady of Fatima.			2) Maria Carolina Basilia Fernandes.	
E-1:	House owned by: Joao Jose Fernandes.		126/17	1) Joao Almeida.	325
F-1:	Hut owned by: Joao Jose Fernandes.			2) Paxao Almeida	
G-1:	Bhati owned by: Joao Jose Fernandes.		127/2	3) Prakash Cairo	
H-1:	Cowshed owned by: Joao Jose Fernandes.			1) Hirabai Srinivas Kamat.	230
I-1:	W. C. owned by: Joao Jose Fernandes.			2) Laxman Ramchandra Kamat. Two	
J-1:	House of Occupant.			<i>Other Rights:</i>	
K-1:	House owned by: 1) Militon Fernandes. 2) Caitan Fernandes. 3) Inacin Fernandes.			A: House owned by: 1) Occupant.	
L-1:	House owned by: 1) Agostino Fernandes. 2) Cristal Fernandes.			B: House owned by: 2) Carasol Fernandes. Two	
M-1:	House owned by: Babuso Rama Pagio.		127/5	1. Joao Almeida.	160
N-1:	Bhati owned by: Agostin Fernandes.			2. Paixao Almeida.	
O-1:	House owned by: Kashinath Krishna Kudkundalkar.			3. Prakash Cairo. Three	
P-1:	House owned by: 1) Mano Gopal Mahale. 2) Khusali Mahale.			4. Honorato Fernandes.	
Q-1:	Hut owned by: Agostino Fernandes.			5. Chandrakant Balsu Fal Dessao.	
R-1:	Hut owned by: Crisal Fernandes.		127/9	1. Venu Shanu Phal One Dessai.	35
S-1:	House owned by: Occupant.		127/10	1. Shaba Bhikaro Phal Dessai.	25
T-1:	House owned by: Francisco Xavier Fernandes.			2. Shambo Bhikaro Phal Dessai.	
U-1:	House owned by: Anton Jose Coutinho.			3. Balsu Bhikaro Phal Dessai.	
			127/15	1. Soiru Shiva Phal One Dessai.	80
			127/19	1. Venu Shanu Phal One Dessai.	25
			127/20	1. Vithal Kusta Phal One Dessai	35
			127/22	1. Nilu Balsu Phal Two Dessai.	45
				2. Dattu Balsu Phal Dessai. Two	
			127/24	1. Govind Pandu Phal Dessai.	70
				2. Ganesh Pandu Phal Dessai.	

1	2	3	1	2	3
	3. Vinayak Pandu Phal Dessai.		130/20	1. Fr. Caitano da Cruz Fernandes.	2800
	4. Kushali Pandu Phal Dessai.			2. Philomena Santan Fernandes.	
	5. Nilu Pandu Phal Dessai.			3. Joseph Oswin Fernandes.	
	6. Nilu Balsu Phal Dessai. Six			4. John Edward Fernandes.	
129/19	1. Pedro Qurtodio One	100	<i>Other Rights:</i>		
	Fernandes.		B:	House owned by:	
129/20	1. Mariano Piedade One	65		1. Palrice Fernandes.	
	Fernandes.		C:	House owned by:	
129/23	1. Madhukar Ganaba One	170		2. Dielina Pereira.	
	Naik Gaunkar.		D:	House owned by:	
129/25	1. Premanand Bhajro One	195		3. Lucian Fernandes.	
	Naik Gaunkar.		E:	House owned by:	
129/27	1. Philip Marcelin One	230		4. Margarita Fernandes.	
	Coutinho.		F:	House owned by:	
130/1	1. Anant Narayan One	300		5. Occupant Seven.	
	Naik Gaunkar.		132/1	1. Shri Jalmi Devasthan. One	25
<i>Other Rights:</i>			132/2	1. Shantabai Shivram N. Gaonkar. 1/3	200
	1. Caitan Avelin One			2. Govind Vaicunt Dessai. 1/3	
	Fernandes.			3. Vassant Purshottam N. Gaunkar.	
130/4	1. Marvelina Fernandes. One	150		4. Pandari Shambu N. Gaunkar. 3 & 4 1/3 share	
130/6	1. Petolina Fernandes One	65	132/3	1. Suresh Vaikunth Naik Gaunkar.	10
130/8	1. Vyankatesh Narayan One	120		2. Suresh S. Audi.	
	Phal Dessai.			3. Dr. P. S. Audi. Three	
A:	House owned by:		132/6	1. Vinayak Nilba Gaunkar.	280
	1. Petorlina Joao Fernandes.			2. Shivram Nilba Naik Gaunkar.	
130/9	1. Xavier Jose One	100		3. Gangadhar Nilba Naik Gaonkar. 1, 2 & 3 1/3 share	
	Fernandes.			4. Govind Vaicunth Dessai. 1/3	
130/10	1. Bhajro Shaba Naik Four	275		5. Vassant Purshottam Naik Gaunkar.	
	Gaonkar.			6. Pandari Shambu Naik Gaonkar. 5 & 6 1/3 share	
	2. Manual Santan Fernandes.		132/10	1. Babu Vishwanath One	350
	3. Xavier Jose Fernandes. Four			Naik Gaunkar.	
	4. Terexa Fernandes.		132/12	1. Antoneta Lourence One	160
	5. Manuel Fernandes.			Fernandes.	
130/12	1. Bhajro Xaba Naik One	60	132/14	1. Reis de Sourde of One	160
	Gaonkar.			Bardiwada.	
130/13	1. Manual Fernandes. One	85			
130/14	1. Anant Narayan Naik One	185			
	Gaonkar.				
130/16	1. Hirabai Shrinivas Kamat.	675			
	2. Laxman Ram Kamat.				
<i>Other Rights:</i>					
A:	House owned by:				
	1) Caitano Fernandes.				
B:	Hut owned by:				
	2) Caitan Fernandes.				
C:	House owned by:				
	3) Jeronim Fernandes.				

1011

Notification

No. 23/9/2010-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. L. A. for improvement and widening the road from Durgabhat to Bondhbag for a length of 2.00 kms. in Ponda Constituency.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Officer, PWD (Cell), Altinho, Panaji-Goa to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
2. Land Acquisition Officer, PWD (Cell), Altinho, Panaji-Goa.
3. The Executive Engineer, WD-XVIII (Roads), PWD, Ponda-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Land Acquisition Officer, PWD (Cell), Altinho, Panaji-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

<i>Taluka:</i> Ponda		<i>Village:</i> Ponda
Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
139 0 part O:	1) Suryaji Shanker Mamlekar.	2675
	2) Prabhaker Waman Mamlekar.	
	3) Dilip Waman Mamlekar.	
	4) Ramesh Waman Malekar.	
	5) Hanifabi Y. Khan.	
	6) Abubaker Y. Khan.	
	7) Unni Krishnan K.	
	8) Makbul Sabnannesab Badigi.	
	9) Ramesh Gangaram Betkikar.	
	10) Kassim Shah SayadAli Makandar.	
	11) Occupant of an area of 370 sq. mts.	
	12) KassimAli Bedgi.	
	13) Ismail Sab Lohar.	
	14) Mohiddin Sab Temunkatti.	
	15) Occupant of an area 300 sq. mts.	
	16) Shamshuddin.	
	17) Shri Gauns.	
	18) Jahangir.	
	19) Mustafa.	
	20) Rajaysab.	
	21) Bashir Ahmed Occupant of 400 sq. mts.	
	22) Shaik Abdul Munaf.	
	23) Sayed Jabir.	

1	2	3	1	2	3
	24) Abdul Karim.			4) Damodar Krishna Saraf.	
	25) Hazratiali Hassansab Mattihalli.			5) Rajendra Anant Saraf.	
	26) 510 sq. mts.			6) Motibai @ Simintini Bhanudas Shet.	
	27) Daud Ganssab Shaikh.			7) Saraf.	
	28) Suleman Mahaboobsab Shaik.			8) Vithal Kashinath Shet Saraf.	
	29) Abdul Sattar M. Chikkodi.		144 3 part O: 1)	Prabhakar Dattaram Bhise.	100
	30) Narayan Damodar Mahale Borkar.			2) Monohar Dattaram Bhise.	
	31) Dinkar Savleram Mundaye.			3) Shashikant Dattaram Bhise.	
	32) Pramod Sada Mamlekar.			4) Laxmikant Dattaram Bhise.	
	33) Rustum Hussain Sab Kalaigar.		144 4 part O: 1)	Motiram Sadu Saraf.	150
	34) Priya Sada Mamlekar.			2) Babi Sadu Saraf.	
	35) Uttam Pundalik Shilkar.			3) Vinayak Babi Saraf.	
	36) Abdul Rehman Kagnalli.		145 2 part O: 1)	Digambar Vencetexa Saunto Talauilicar.	25
	37) Prabhakar Yeshwant Chavan.			2) Satischandra Vencetexa Saunto Talauilicar.	
	38) Shaik Shabbir.		146 0 part O: 1)	Purushottam Babae Shet Mandno.	655
	39) Shrikant Bhikaro Phadte.			2) Naguesh Babae Shet Mandno.	
	40) Yogeshwardassa Sada Mamlekar.			3) Rajaram Babae Shet Mandno.	
	41) Niteen Sada Mamlekar.			4) Pandurang Babae Shet Mandno.	
	42) Gangabai Sada Mamlekar.			5) Shantabai Surya Shet Mandno.	
	43) Bhima Babu Patroof.			6) Manikbai Venkatesh Sawant.	
	44) Hanif Kagnali.		147 1 part O: 1)	Datta Naguesh Sawant.	105
	45) Ajay Sada Mamlekar.			2) Gurudas Naguesh @ Soiru Sawant.	
	46) Khudbodoin Mohamed Ali Sab Shaik.			3) Manohar Naguesh @ Soiru Sawant.	
	47) Gulabi Hari Naik.			4) Vasant Naguesh @ Soiru Sawant.	
140 0 part O: 1)	Suryaji Shanker Mamlekar.	1370		5) Chandrakant Naguesh @ Soiru Sawant.	
	2) Prabhaker Waman Mamlekar.		148 1 part O: 1)	Ramchanra Sridhar Naik Gaunekar.	30
	3) Dilip Waman Mamlekar.			2) R. Thulaseedharan Pillai.	
	4) Ramesh Waman Mamlekar.			3) M. Sulaiman Kunju.	
	5) Madrasa Faizun Nabi 304 sq. mts.			4) Somnath Laxman Surleker.	
	6) Prakash Pandarinath Naik.			5) Anant Shantaram Netalkar.	
142 0 part O: 1)	Suryaji Shanker Mamlekar.	1400		6) Aktarsab Nabisab Mulla.	
	2) Waman Suryaji Mamlekar.			7) Bellur Shamanna Jagannath.	
	3) Faridabi Mahmood Shaik.				
	4) Vasant Kepa Gouda.				
144 2 part O: 1)	Gopinath Krishna Saraf.	40			
	2) Ashok Krishna Saraf.				
	3) Subha Krishna Saraf.				

[illegible]

Notification

No. 22/31/2010-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for setting up of Sewage Waste Water Treatment Plant in Survey No. 340/1 (Part) at Cuncolim Bazaar in Village Cuncolim of Salcete Taluka.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer, SIP, Gogol, Margao, to perform the functions of a Collector, South Goa District, Margao, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa.
2. The Special Land Acquisition Officer, SIP, Gogol, Margao-Goa.
3. The Chief Officer, Cuncolim Municipal Council, Cuncolim-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer, SIP, Gogol, Margao, Goa, for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Salcete Village: Cuncolim

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Name of the person believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
340 1(P) O:	Mr. Carlos Fernandes.	19340

Boundaries :

North : S. No. 340/2, 340/1-A.
 South: S. No. 341/1.
 East : S. No. 340/1.
 West: S. No. 341/1, 343/1,
 340/1-A, Nalla.

Total: 19340

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Pandharinath N. Naik, Under Secretary (Rev-I).

Porvorim, 31st December, 2010.

Corrigendum

In the Notification No. 2/30/2002-Fin (DMU) dated 14th December, 2010 under Department of Finance (Debt Management Division) published in Official Gazette, Series II No. 39 dated 23rd December, 2010 on page 956 in the eighth line the word "Angel" may be corrected to read as "Angle".

www.goaprintingpress.gov.in

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE-Rs. 19.00